

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,497	09/910,497 07/19/2001		John W. Evans	290397.0007	9692	
21832	7590 1	10/24/2003		EXAMINER		
-	ER & ENGLISI	H LLP	HAMLIN, DERRICK G			
CITYPLAC 185 ASYLU	E I IM STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HARTFORI	ARTFORD, CT 06103			1751		
				DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	101	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								\sim	
				Applicatio	n No.		Applicant(s)		
	O.661 -	Action Summary		09/910,49	7		EVANS ET AL.	-	
,	Jπic			Examiner			Art Unit		
	444	NO 5475 441:		Derrick G.			1751		
Period for Re		NG DATE of this commun	ication app	ars on the	cov rshe	et with the c	orrespond nce ad	ddress	
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to r - Any reply re	ING DA of time many i) MONTH d for reply d for reply eply within eceived by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNI ay be available under the provisions of the provisions of the mailing date of this common specified above is less than thirty (3 is specified above, the maximum stathe set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months a tijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. 0) days, a reply atutory period w will, by statute,	36(a). In no ever y within the statu will apply and will , cause the appli	nt, however, m ory minimum expire SIX (6) cation to become	nay a reply be tim of thirty (30) days) MONTHS from me ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)□ Re	sponsi	ve to communication(s) fil	ed on	•					
2a) <u></u> ⊤h	is actio	n is FINAL .	2b)⊠ Thi	is action is	non-final.		•		
	sed in	application is in condition accordance with the pract						ne merits is	
<u> </u>		<u>-29 <i>and 40-50</i></u> is/are pend	ding in the	application					
		above claim(s) is/a				1.			
		is/are allowed.				•			
<u> </u>	, , _	 - <u>29 <i>and 40-50</i> is/are rejec</u>	ted.						
7) □ Cla	im(s) _	is/are objected to.	·						
8)∏ Cla	im(s) _	are subject to restric	tion and/o	r election re	quirement	t.			
Application i	apers								
9)∐ The	specific	cation is objected to by the	e Examine	r.					
10) □ The	drawing	g(s) filed on is/are:	a)⊡ accep	pted or b)	objected to	by the Exar	niner.		
		may not request that any obj				•	, ,		
		ed drawing correction file		- '	•	☐ disappro	ved by the Examir	ner.	
<u></u>		d, corrected drawings are re-	•	•	ice action.				
		declaration is objected to	by the Ex	aminer.					
		S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
		gment is made of a claim	for foreign	n priority und	der 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).		
		Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1	_	fied copies of the priority							
		fied copies of the priority				• •			
	a	es of the certified copies application from the Intern ched detailed Office actio	ational Bu	reau (PCT I	Rule 17.2((a)).		Stage	
14) Ackn	owledg	ment is made of a claim f	or domesti	c priority un	der 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).
•		inslation of the foreign lar ment is made of a claim f							•
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice of D)raftspers	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (P ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa				ce of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 1. The rejection of claims 1-29 and 40-50 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maes et al. (US 5366651) is withdrawn in view of the applicants arguments.
- 2. Claims 1-29 and 40-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood (US 4455248).

Wood discloses a corrosion inhibitor combination is useful in antifreeze formulations based on glycols, which, of course, impart to aqueous solutions the desired freezing point depression and boiling point elevation. Glycols suitable for purposes of the invention generally include those commonly applied in conventional antifreeze compositions and specifically include the lower alkylene glycols, such as propylene and ethylene glycols, which are also most preferred. Mixtures of such glycols are equally suitable. The composition of the invention optionally contains water. For reasons relating to convenience in handling and storage, the antifreeze may be formulated as a concentrate containing little or no water. (col. 2, line 56–col. 3, line 13) Corrosion protection is provided by certain silicate, phosphate, borate, nitrate, azole, and alkali compounds in specified proportions. (abstract)

The reference fails to teach that the composition is used to reduce the toxicity of a heat transfer fluid.

Although the reference fails to teach that the composition is used to reduce the toxicity of a heat transfer fluid, the mere statement of a new use for an otherwise old or obvious composition cannot render the composition patentable, In re Zeider, 162 USPQ 102. Furthermore, the instantly claimed use or method of using the composition is not novel, as it is still being used as a heat transfer fluid in a cooling system of an internal combustion engine.

Therefore it would have been within the preview of the skilled artisan to create the instantly claimed heat transfer fluid, as Woods discloses a composition containing ethylene or propylene glycol or mixtures thereof and a corrosion inhibitor.

3. Claims 1-6, 14-16, 18, 21, 26-29, 40, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Newell et al. (US 4,293,441).

Newell discloses corrosion inhibitor heat transfer liquids, such as aqueous ethylene glycol used as coolant in the cooling system of an internal combustion engine (abstract). The reference further teaches that the composition is useful as or in a heat transfer medium for a heat exchanger, such as the cooling system of an internal combustion engine, comprising (1) ethylene glycol, propylene glycol or mixtures thereof, including aqueous solutions thereof, and (2) as a corrosion inhibitor fluoroaliphatic radical-containing phosphonic acid or salt or hydrolyzable ester thereof (col. 1, lines 41-49). The reference further teaches that the use is at elevated temperatures, for

example, at temperatures above 100 degrees C, little or no water need be added to the glycol/fluoroaliphaticphosphonic acid solution (col. 5, lines 60-66).

The reference fails to teach that the composition is used to reduce the toxicity of a heat transfer fluid.

Although the reference fails to teach that the composition is used to reduce the toxicity of a heat transfer fluid, the mere statement of a new use for an otherwise old or obvious composition cannot render the composition patentable, In re Zeider, 162 USPQ 102. Furthermore, the instantly claimed use or method of using the composition is not novel, as it is still being used as a heat transfer fluid in a cooling system of an internal combustion engine. Additionally, on would be motivated to not use water because a car engine is one such application where the temperature would rise above 100 degrees C.

Therefore it would have been within the preview of the skilled artisan to create the instantly claimed heat transfer fluid, as Newell discloses a composition containing ethylene or propylene glycol or mixtures thereof and a corrosion inhibitor.

In view of the forgoing, the above claims have failed to be patently distinguishable over prior art.

Conclusion

The remaining references listed on form(s) 892 and/or 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick G. Hamlin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternating Fridays from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Derrick G. Hamlin

10/20/03 Hanli

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700